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## Introduction

The Edumatics Corporation contracted with Harris County Department of Education to summarize preliminary data they had collected on student achievement, teacher satisfaction, and teacher and principal testimonials for campuses that had purchased EduSmart Science from January 2008 to May 2009. This EduSmart Science Preliminary report consists of three sections including Schools with EduSmart, Campus Level TAKS, Teacher and Principal Responses, and Highlights/Recommendations. EduSmart and the Texas Education Agency Website provided data for the evaluation.
$\underline{\text { Schools with EduSmart }}$
The following table displays the schools that have purchased EduSmart over a 15 -month period (between $1 / 2008$ and $5 / 2009$ ) and the number of months schools had the software prior to the administration of the Science TAKS test.

| Schools Purchasing EduSmart |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schools | Months with EduSmart before 08/09 TAKS | Schools | Months with EduSmart before 08/09 TAKS |
| Fort Bend ISD |  | Midland ISD |  |
| E.A Jones | 1 | Long | 6 |
| Meadows | 3 | Jones | 6 |
| Goose Creek ISD |  | Milam | 6 |
| Carver | 3 | Burnet | 5 |
| San Jacinto | 3 | Aldine ISD |  |
| Alamo | 3 | Eckert Intermediate | 4 |
| Pumfrey | 3 | Hill Intermediate | 0 |
| Crockett | 3 | Houston Academy | 4 |
| Houston ISD |  | Marcella Intermediate | 4 |
| Alcott | 3 | Parker Intermediate | 5 |
| Almeda | 5 | Reed Academy | 4 |
| Bastian | 1 | Stehlik Intermediate | 4 |
| Bell | 1 | Wilson Intermediate | 4 |
| Braeburn | 0 | Alief ISD |  |
| Brookline | 1 | Budewig Intermediate | 1 |
| Codwell | 10 | Klentzman Intermediate | 1 |
| Cornelius | 10 | Mata Intermediate | 1 |
| Elrod | 1 | Miller Intermediate | 1 |
| Emerson | 1 | Owens Intermediate | 1 |
| Frost | 1 | Youngblood Intermediate | 1 |
| Golfcrest | 5 | Lufkin ISD |  |
| Grimes | 1 | Dunbar Primary | 0 |
| Grissom | 5 | Mission ISD |  |
| Hobby | 5 | Alton | 0 |
| Caldwell | 5 | Bryan | 0 |


| Gross | 1 | Cantu | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seguin | 3 | Castro | 0 |
| Smith | 0 | Cavazos | 0 |
| Kashmere Gardens | 5 | Escobar/Rios | 0 |
| Mading Elementary | 0 | Leal | 0 |
| Mitchell | 3 | Marcell | 0 |
| Montgomery | 1 | Midkiff | 0 |
| Park Place | 10 | Mims | 0 |
| Parker | 0 | O'Grady | 0 |
| Red | 0 | Pearson | 0 |
| Rhoads | 4 | Salinas | 0 |
| Tijerina | 1 | Waitz | 0 |
| Travis | 0 | Pasadena ISD |  |
| Wainwright | 7 | Schneider Middle | 0 |
| West University | 4 |  |  |
| Windsor Village | 4 |  |  |
| Klein ISD |  |  |  |
| Mittelstadt | 15 |  |  |

A total of 74 Texas schools have purchased EduSmart Science since January 2008. Schools had the software from less than a month to 15 months (mean of two months with Klein ISD, Mittelstadt removed) prior to administration of the 08/09 Science TAKS test.

## Campus Level TAKS

TAKS data for 07-08 and 08-09 was submitted on 28 of the schools that had purchased EduSmart. Percentage gains from the first to the second year of data for the Science TAKS test were calculated for the percentage of students passing TAKS and percentage of students scoring commended. The following table displays the Schools that have 08-09 TAKS scores available (grouped by school district), the number of months with EduSmart before TAKS and the percentage gains for students that passed the Science TAKS and students that scored commended. .

| Percentage Gains Science TAKS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schools By School | Acct. <br> Dastrict | N <br> rested | Months w/ <br> EduSmart <br> before 08-09 | Passed | Commended |
| TAKS |  |  |  |  |  |


| Kashmere Gardens | 5 | $83 \%$ | $600.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rhoads | 4 | $55.20 \%$ | $700.00 \%$ |
| Golfcrest | 5 | $29.70 \%$ | $140.00 \%$ |
| Almeda | 5 | $27.60 \%$ | $19.20 \%$ |
| Tijerina | 1 | $21.70 \%$ | $86.70 \%$ |
| Hobby | 5 | $19.40 \%$ | $104.30 \%$ |
| Alcott | 3 | $18.10 \%$ | $82.10 \%$ |
| Wainwright | 7 | $14.30 \%$ | $-5.60 \%$ |
| Brookline | 1 | $11.90 \%$ | $47.10 \%$ |
| Mitchell | 3 | $10.30 \%$ | $117.40 \%$ |
| Caldwell | 5 | $6.30 \%$ | $158.80 \%$ |
| Seguin | 3 | $4.20 \%$ | $18.20 \%$ |
| Grissom | 5 | $1.20 \%$ | $70.00 \%$ |
| Travis | 0 | $1.10 \%$ | $17.30 \%$ |
| West University | 4 | $1.00 \%$ | $9.10 \%$ |
| Bell | 1 | $0.00 \%$ | $19.00 \%$ |
| Codwell | 10 | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Cornelius | 10 | $0.00 \%$ | $6.40 \%$ |
| Park Place | 10 | $0.00 \%$ | $6.30 \%$ |
| Windsor Village | 4 | $-6.10 \%$ | $19.40 \%$ |
| Klein ISD |  |  |  |
| Mittelstadt | 15 | $11.40 \%$ | $33.30 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |

The mean number of months these 28 schools had EduSmart was 4.46. Mittelstadt Elementary accessed EduSmart the longest with 15 months while Travis Elementary had the least amount of time implementing EduSmart (less than a month).

Percentage Passed
Of the 28 schools, 27 maintained or increased their percentage gains for students passing the Science TAKS. Kashmere Gardens Elementary represented the greatest gain with an $83 \%$ increase followed by Jones Elementary (30.9\%) and Carver Elementary (30.80\%). Windsor Village Elementary revealed a $6.10 \%$ decline in percentage passing.

## Percentage Commended

Twenty-four (24) of the 28 schools maintained or increased the percentage of students scoring commended on the Science TAKS test. Rhoads Elementary showed the greatest percentage of commended students with an increase of $700 \%$ followed by Kashmere Gardens Elementary at $600 \%$. Carver Elementary represented the greatest decline in percentage of commended at $40.50 \%$. The graph below shows overall percentage passed and commended for the Science TAKS.


## Houston ISD (HISD)

Data showed that $95 \%$ (19) of the submitted HISD schools showed a percentage gain for students passing the Science TAKS and scoring commended. Kashmere Gardens Elementary represented the greatest gain with $83 \%$ students passing. Rhoads Elementary displayed the greatest percentage for students scoring commended at $700 \%$. The graph below shows percentage gains for HISD.


## Fort Bend ISD

TAKS data submitted for Jones Elementary and Meadows Elementary showed varied results. Jones Elementary showed the greatest percentage for students passing at $30.8 \%$. On the other hand, Jones Elementary experienced a $40 \%$ decline for students scoring commended, compared to the previous year while Meadows Elementary reported a $13.7 \%$ percentage gain for students scoring commended.


## Goose Creek Independent School District

All 5 schools for the Goose Creek ISD reported percentage gains in students passing the Science TAKS. Carver Elementary had the greatest percentage gain at $30.8 \%$. Results for students scoring commended reveal 3 of the five schools showing percentage gains. Carver Elementary also displayed the greatest percentage gain for commended students at $52.9 \%$.


## Teacher Survey Responses

Eleven teachers responded to the EduSmart survey. Of these, $45.5 \%$ (5) indicated that this was their first digital resource they have used to teach science while, $54.5 \%$ (6) revealed that they have used other digital resources to teach science. Some of the digital resources previously used included:

- Sunburst
- COW
- Smart Boards
- Interactive websites

The teachers reported using EduSmart with different frequencies with 8 using EduSmart Every other class, 2 using the program Every class, and 1 using the program Occasionally.

Teachers provided several examples for their Likes and Dislikes about EduSmart. Teacher responses were grouped into themes. Teacher Likes about EduSmart included Program Format/Presentation, User Friendly, and Student Engagement. Teachers reported that Edusmart is clear and well organized. Additionally, the application is easy to understand for students and results in greater student engagement in the science lessons.

Teachers reported Dislikes for the Missing TEKS and Lack of Information. For example, they stated that EduSmart was not covering or including all TEKS. Additionally, they suggested that EduSmart needs more questions and content.
The table below lists examples of the Likes and Dislikes reported about the EduSmart program.

| Teacher Likes/Dislikes of EduSmart |
| :--- |
| Likes |
| Program Format/Presentation: I IOVE the program! I love how it is organized, and I love how it is so user <br> friendly. The lessons are clear and concise - the children easily understand the concepts and obviously <br> benefit from the lessons. I also find the quizzes and the vocabulary very. <br> Format and organization relevant to what is being taught. <br> Click-n-tick, graphics, connections <br> User Friendly: It is very user friendly. I like the fact that it has the TEKS listed. <br> It is very easy to understand. The content is child-friendly. <br> It is kid-friendly, high interest, entertaining without pandering to the students', challenging and addresses <br> exactly what they need for their grade level's science. <br> Student Engagement: I liked the way the information was presented. The students were engaged the entire <br> time. <br> I liked that it gave everyday uses for science. Our children were engaged and were asking questions that <br> were so much greater than those when using the textbook. <br> ‥I like that it has numerous interactivities also that allow the students to "be involved" |


| Dislikes |
| :--- |
| Missing TEKS: I would like all the objectives we are required to cover to be available on EduSmart. |
| I needed TEKS that were not there. |
| Objective 12 is not included. |
| It does not cover all the TEKS. My suggestion would be to get all the TEKS at all grade levels added. |
| Lack of Information: More interactive questions on the quizzes - maybe incorporate some higher level <br> questions for students to write about... <br> Needs more content and information on all the objectives |

Teachers also rated various statements on EduSmart as a Learning Resource. They agreed or somewhat agreed $100 \%$ of the time for all items.

| EduSmart as Learning Resource |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Items | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage <br> Agree/Somewhat Agree <br> It is easy to use. <br> It is fun to use. <br> My students enjoyed using this resource. <br> It produced improved student engagement |
| It is well aligned to TEKS. | 11 | $100 \%$ |

When determining how EduSmart fits with their current teaching strategies, 80\% (8) of the teachers thought that EduSmart fit well with their teaching strategies while 20\% (2) thought it fit but they had to make a few accommodations.

For the question, Please share any effective strategies you have employed with EduSmart that would benefit other teachers, teachers identified two strategies, End of Lesson Quizzes and Reteaching. They used the End of Lesson Quizzes to evaluate student comprehension of the science content and as a Re-teaching tool to review objectives, reinforce concepts, and address student weaknesses. The teaching strategies are provided below.

| Teaching Strategies Used with EduSmart |
| :--- |
| End of Lesson Quizzes: We used the questions at the end as a quiz, and then went back through the content <br> to check for understanding. <br> Our students took the end of lesson quizzes in there journals, were their notes were. Then we replayed and <br> the watched it the second time with a focus of answering the questions given. <br> Take advantage of the multiple choice questions at the end of each segment. |

```
Re-teaching Strategies: I use it every day in after-school tutorials to re-teach and reinforce what we are
doing, plus to reinforce past TEKS so that they will be ready for testing.
Edusmart allows you to easily go back over specific objectives where needed...
In the lab each students is given opportunity to focus on their weak areas.
```

For the item, Provide evidence, if any, of positive impact of EduSmart in your classroom, teachers provided examples of how EduSmart stimulated student interest and excitement for science (Student Engagement/Enthusiasm) as well as helped to improve test scores (Higher Scores). Teacher comments are provided in the table below.

| Evidence of the Impact of EduSmart |
| :--- |
| Student Engagement/Enthusiasm: The students are excited about starting a science lesson when they <br> realize we are going to use Edusmart <br> The children were more on task and interested. <br> Students enjoy the variety. <br> The students become more involved in the concepts that are provided as opposed to just sitting at their <br> desk... <br> Students are engaged and enthusiastic about learning science. <br> This program pushed my children to think and not only that, but they loved it. <br> ...more excitement about tutorials, and more knowledge and a deeper understanding of the concepts... <br> Higher Scores: Higher test scores... <br> ...They all increased their scores from previous weeks by 10 points. |

Teachers rated the overall usefulness of EduSmart, on a scale ranging from 1 to 5 where 1 represented the lowest rating and 5 represented the highest rating. Overall, $63.6 \%$ (7) of the teachers rated EduSmart as highly useful (mean score of 4.63).

## Testimonials

EduSmart collected several teacher and principal testimonials from a few of the schools that had purchased their product. The teacher testimonials revealed two themes, Varied Utility and Higher Scores. For Varied Utility, teachers identified various methods in utilizing EduSmart in their classrooms. Some of the uses for EduSmart included re-teaching tool, use in centers, use for tutorials, and test review. Teachers also identified high scores as a result of EduSmart
program. The Principal testimonials focus directly on Higher Scores. The principals all reported increased science scores.

## Teacher Testimonials

Varied Utility - Edusmart Science is a teacher's dream come true. This virtual resource tool is excellent to enhance teaching, re-teaching, reviewing and assessing student's knowledge.

I used the Edusmart Science Software to open my Science Tutorials. I believe the videos help build background knowledge in my ESL students.

These units allowed my students to "visit" the Solar System, experiencing not only the incredible mass and energy of the sun, but also becoming very familiar with orbits, phases and other patterns of change in space.

I confer with our science teacher and plan vocabulary lessons to correlate with the science curriculum being taught at the moment.

Small group setting: a rotation of academic "centers" and Journal writing:
We used EDUSMART in our review time for TAKS.
Higher Scores - We had $87 \%$ passing overall and $55 \%$ commended performance this year! We're so excited and I know that EDUSMART really helped us!

This year we scored $98 \%$ vs. $90 \%$ last year. Our commended was $63 \%$ vs. $56 \%$ last year. We had 16 perfect scores.

## Principal Testimonials

Higher Scores -We had 44\% commended in 5th grade science which is an improvement over last year.
We did very well on our science scores with an $89 \%$ overall. This is an 8 point increase over last year.

We're very pleased with Science!!!!! 90\% passing 48\% commended. The final figures may change after TEA finishes their calculations but we're ecstatic! Our rating for this year is EXEMPLARY!

## Summary

This summary is composed of Highlights and Limitations. As of January 2008 to May 2009, 74 Texas schools purchased EduSmart Science. Schools had the software from less than a month to 15 months.

## Highlights

In all, the campus level data, teacher survey, and teacher and principal testimonials present positive perspectives of the EduSmart program.

Campus Level TAKS - Of the 28 schools which submitted data, 27 maintained or increased their percentage gains for students passing the Science TAKS. Additionally, 24 of the 28 schools
maintained or increased their percentage gains of students scoring commended on the Science TAKS.

Teacher Survey Responses - Of the 11 teachers that responded to the EduSmart Survey, $100 \%$ of the teachers agreed or somewhat agreed that EduSmart as a learning resource;

- Was easy to use
- Was fun to use
- Was enjoyed by students
- Produced improved student engagement
- Was well aligned to TEKS

Additionally, teachers highly rated the overall usefulness of EduSmart (4.63 on a 5 point scale) and $80 \%$ of the teachers thought that EduSmart fit well with their teaching strategies.

Teachers liked several aspects of the EduSmart program. They enjoyed the formatting and found it easy to follow. They also felt the presentation was engaging to students and kept their attention. This supports EduSmart's simple menu structure for a system that teachers can understand and integrate into their curriculum. These examples support the underlying brain research that elementary students are motivated by a high excitement factor. ${ }^{1}$

Teachers reported using two teaching strategies with EduSmart; Use of End of Lesson Quizzes and Re-teaching. They used the quizzes to check for comprehension of the content and the program to re-teach or reinforce objectives. Teachers also described high Student Engagement and Higher Scores as evidence of the impact of EduSmart.

## Testimonials

The Teacher and Principal Testimonials support the findings of the Teacher Survey. Teachers reported seeing Higher Scores such as increases in percent passing and commended. They also described the Varied Utility of the EduSmart program among their schools such as for re-teaching, in a "center", for tutorials and test review. Principals focused on higher test scores as a result of EduSmart.

## Limitations

This report has several limitations. First, the student data for the 08-09 Science TAKS test and teacher survey data were not representative of all schools using EduSmart.. Another limitation is data quality control. For this preliminary report Edumatics Corporation collected the data themselves which may appear biased. Also, the survey data represented a small sample , thereby limiting interpretation and generalizability. Furthermore, documentation of the extent of program implementation was unavailable. Schools' purchase of the software does not ensure use, though, it can imply the possibility.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Needs reference

